

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/729,096	SAMUELSSON ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
BENJAMIN A. KAPLAN	2439	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) BENJAMIN A. KAPLAN.

(3) MICHAEL D. CARTER.

(2) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 March 2009

Time: 12 P.M.

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 101

Claims discussed:

1-5, 8-17 & 19-32

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Benjamin A Kaplan/
Examiner, Art Unit 2439

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner and Applicant's representative discussed a change in claim language to make clear the invention's being tied to a machine. And the inclusion of arguments from the previous interview in the record to complete the prosecution history. Examiner's amendment and a copy of the presented arguments are found in the notice of allowance accompanying this interview summary..